

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 MELVIN L. WILSON,

11 Petitioner,

No. 2:05-cv-0357 LKK KJN P

12 vs.

13 SCOTT KERNAN, Warden,

14 Respondent.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS


15 _____/
16 On February 17, 2010, an order was served on petitioner's address of record and
17 returned by the postal service. It appears that petitioner has failed to comply with Local Rule 83-
18 182(f), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address
19 change.

20 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for
21 petitioner's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 182(f) and
22 110.

23 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
24 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-
25 one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written
26 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's

Findings and Recommendations.” Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: April 12, 2010


KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

wils0357.133a